



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/469,652 06/06/95 OSBORNE EXAMINER TAYLOR, D C5M1/1219 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER JOHN L ALEX LOCKWOOD ALEX FITZGIBBON & CUMMINGS SUITE 1700 THREE FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA 3506 CHICAGO IL 60602 DATE MAILED: 12/19/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on\_\_\_\_\_ his application has been examined This action is made final. \_\_month(s), \_\_\_ A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 3. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION \_\_ are pending in the application. \_\_\_\_\_ are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claims \_ 2. Claims 3. Claims Claims are objected to. \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on \_ Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. Li The proposed drawing correction, filed \_\_\_ , has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received □ been filed in parent application, serial no. \_\_\_\_\_; filed on \_\_\_\_\_ 13.  $\square$  Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Art Unit: 3506

## Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claims 7 and 8 are in this application.
Claims 1-6 have been canceled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As to claim 7, it is not clear what structure Applicant is attempting to define in par. (c). As to claim 8, par. (d) does not appear to read correctly. The last three (3) lines of the paragraph does not appear to make sense, i.e., "said first and second access chambers being interconnected in a fluid tight manner through the side wall of the second access chamber".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Serial Number: 08/469,652

Art Unit: 3506

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

3. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Webb (4,932,257) in view of Fuhrmann (U.S. 3,974,862). With respect to claim 7, Webb teaches the structure recited in this claim except for the inner pipe being separate and flexible. Fuhrmann teaches a piping system wherein an inner pipe is flexible. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the inner pipe of Webb separate and flexible, in view of the recognition in the art, as evidenced by Fuhrmann, that the use of a flexible inner pipe is desirable because it will facility assembly of the system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Taylor whose telephone number is (703) 308-1013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5;00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Britts, can be reached on (703) 308-2144. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597 or 305-3598.

Art Unit: 3506

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is  $(703)\ 308-2168$ .

DENNIS L. TAYLOR PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3506

December 12, 1995 (4) 469652.1st